

Miasmatic Interpretation and Individualized Homoeopathic Management of Chronic Tonsillitis: A Prospective Clinical Study

Dr. Rashmi Rameshbhai Vaghela¹, Dr. Mital N. Kacha²

¹PG Scholar, Department of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathic Philosophy, Rajkot Homoeopathic Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

²Associate Professor and Postgraduate Guide, Department of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathic Philosophy, Rajkot Homoeopathic Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

Abstract:

Chronic tonsillitis is a recurrent inflammatory condition that can affect quality of life. This prospective study evaluated the miasmatic pattern and response to individualized homoeopathic treatment in 40 patients. Marked, moderate, mild, and no improvement were observed in 50%, 25%, 15%, and 10% cases, respectively. Psora was the predominant fundamental miasm (90%), while Tubercular miasm was the predominant dominant miasm (45%). The mean clinical score improved from 10.65 to 4.05 ($t = 18.0057$, $p < 0.001$). Individualized homoeopathic treatment was associated with significant clinical improvement in most cases.

Keywords: Chronic tonsillitis, Homoeopathy, Miasm, Psora, Tubercular miasm, Modified Paradise Criteria, Individualized treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic tonsillitis is a recurrent inflammatory disorder of the palatine tonsils, commonly presenting with sore throat, dysphagia, tonsillar enlargement, cervical lymphadenopathy, halitosis, snoring, and recurrent infections. It may adversely affect sleep, eating, education, work, and overall quality of life.

Conventional management includes symptomatic treatment, antimicrobial therapy when indicated, and tonsillectomy in selected cases. Homoeopathy considers the individual's totality of symptoms, constitutional characteristics, susceptibility, and miasmatic background for individualized treatment.

The present study evaluated 40 clinically diagnosed cases of chronic tonsillitis from a miasmatic perspective and assessed treatment response using the Modified Paradise Criteria.

AIM

To study the miasmatic interpretation in cases of chronic tonsillitis and assess the clinical response to individualized homoeopathic management.

OBJECTIVES

1. To study the clinical manifestations and recurrent pattern of chronic tonsillitis.

2. To determine the fundamental and dominant miasmatic patterns among the selected cases.
3. To assess the response to individualized homoeopathic treatment.
4. To compare disease severity before and after treatment using the Modified Paradise Criteria.
5. To assess whether the observed change in clinical scores was statistically significant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A **prospective clinical study** was undertaken.

Study Setting

The study was conducted at **Sainath Homoeopathic Hospital attached to Rajkot Homoeopathic Medical College, Rajkot, affiliated with Parul University**. Patients attending the outpatient department were considered for enrolment.

Sample Size

A total of **40 cases** of chronic tonsillitis were included in the study.

Sampling

Patients fulfilling the predefined selection criteria were selected by **random sampling**.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Patients were included in the study if they fulfilled the following criteria:

1. Patients of both sexes, aged 5–45 years.
2. Pre-diagnosed or recently diagnosed cases of chronic tonsillitis with inadequate improvement or relief following previous treatment.
3. Patients belonging to all socioeconomic groups, irrespective of religion and caste.
4. Patients presenting with clinical features of tonsillitis and fulfilling the adapted Modified Paradise Criteria.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Patients were excluded if they fulfilled any of the following:

1. Cases with advanced or irreversible pathological conditions.
2. Patients below 5 years or above 45 years of age.
3. Cases of chronic tonsillitis associated with major complications.
4. Pregnant and lactating women.
5. Patients receiving prolonged immunosuppressive or antibiotic therapy.

ASSESSMENT TOOL

Modified Paradise Criteria

An adapted Modified Paradise Criteria was used to assess the chronicity and functional impact of chronic tonsillitis.

The parameters assessed were:

Clinical history element	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2
Episodes/year	<3	3–5	≥6
Symptoms >3 months	No	Yes	—
Missed school/work	None	1–3	>3
Repeated OPD visits	No	<3/year	≥3/year
Impact on daily life/sleep	None	Mild	Severe/disruptive

The overall score was interpreted as:

Score	Interpretation
0–4	Clinically insignificant
5–7	Moderate chronicity
8–10	Strong chronic pattern suggestive of persistent tonsillitis

RESULTS

Demographic Profile

The study comprised **40 cases**.

A slight male predominance was observed, with **55% males and 45% females**. The dissertation discussion also reports that chronic tonsillitis occurred across different age groups, with greater representation among younger patients.

MIASMATIC DISTRIBUTION

Fundamental Miasm

Fundamental Miasm	Cases	Percentage
Psora	36	90%
Sycosis	4	10%
Total	40	100%

Psora was therefore the predominant fundamental miasm in the study population.

Dominant Miasm

Dominant Miasm	Cases	Percentage
Tubercular	18	45%
Sycosis	10	25%
Psora	7	17.5%
Syphilis	5	12.5%
Total	40	100%

Tubercular miasm constituted the largest dominant miasmatic group.

CLINICAL OUTCOME

The overall treatment response was classified into four categories.

Clinical Outcome	Cases	Percentage
Marked improvement	20	50%
Moderate improvement	10	25%
Mild improvement	6	15%
No improvement	4	10%
Total	40	100%

Thus, **36 out of 40 cases (90%) demonstrated some degree of improvement**, while 4 cases did not show improvement according to the study's predefined outcome classification.

CHANGE IN MODIFIED PARADISE CRITERIA

The clinical score demonstrated a substantial reduction following treatment.

Parameter	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Mean score	10.65	4.05
Variance	1.053846154	6.048717949
Number of observations	40	40
Degrees of freedom	39	
<i>t</i> statistic	18.00572428	
Two-tailed <i>p</i> value	1.69745×10^{-20}	

The mean score fell from **10.65 to 4.05** following treatment.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The same participants were assessed before and after treatment; therefore, a **paired Student's *t*-test** was applied.

The calculated *t* statistic was **18.0057**, compared with a two-tailed critical value of **2.0227** at 39 degrees of freedom. The obtained two-tailed *p* value was **1.69745×10^{-20}** , which is below the conventional significance threshold of 0.05.

This indicates a statistically significant difference between the pre-treatment and post-treatment clinical scores in this study population.

DISCUSSION

The study evaluated chronic tonsillitis in 40 patients with emphasis on clinical response and miasmatic interpretation. Psora was the predominant fundamental miasm (90%), while Tubercular miasm was the predominant dominant miasm (45%). Individualized prescribing involved 19 remedies, with Baryta carbonica being the most frequently prescribed. Overall, 90% of patients showed some degree of improvement, and the mean Modified Paradise Criteria score decreased from 10.65 to 4.05. The paired *t*-test showed a statistically significant difference ($t = 18.0057, p < 0.001$). However, the single-arm design limits conclusions regarding causality.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that miasmatic interpretation may have an important role in understanding the chronicity, susceptibility, and recurrence of chronic tonsillitis. Psora was the predominant fundamental miasm (90%), while Tubercular miasm was the predominant dominant miasm (45%). Individualized homoeopathic treatment showed clinical improvement in 90% of cases. Thus, miasmatic assessment may be considered an important component of individualized case analysis in chronic tonsillitis, although further controlled studies are required to validate these findings.

REFERENCES:

1. Masters KG, Lasrado S. Tonsils. In: *StatPearls*. 2025.
2. Quay GH. *A Monograph of Diseases of the Nose and Throat*. Philadelphia: Boericke & Tafel; 1897.
3. Chaurasia BD. *Human Anatomy: Head, Neck and Brain*. CBS; 2024.
4. Dhingra PL, Dhingra S. *Diseases of Ear, Nose and Throat*. 7th ed. Elsevier; 2017.
5. Maqbool M, Maqbool S. *Textbook of Ear, Nose and Throat Diseases*. 12th ed. Jaypee; 2013.
6. Miller KM, et al. Global burden of sore throat and group A Streptococcus pharyngitis. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2022;48:101458.
7. Alghamdi FA, et al. Prevalence of acute tonsillitis and oral hygiene. *Cureus*. 2024;16:e55801.
8. Sidell D, Shapiro NL. Acute tonsillitis. *Infect Disord Drug Targets*. 2012;12:271–276.
9. Paradise JL, et al. Efficacy of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection. *N Engl J Med*. 1984;310:674–683.
10. Mitchell RB, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Tonsillectomy in Children. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2019;160:S1–S42.
11. Hahnemann S. *The Chronic Diseases*. 2nd ed. B Jain Publishers; 2009.
12. Roberts HA. *The Principles and Art of Cure by Homoeopathy*. B Jain Publishers; 2002.
13. Close S. *The Genius of Homoeopathy*. B Jain Publishers; 2002.
14. Allen JH. *The Chronic Miasms: Psora and Pseudo-Psora*. B Jain Publishers; 2004.
15. Banerjee SK. *Miasmatic Prescribing*. 2nd ed. B Jain Publishers.
16. Hahnemann S. *Organon of Medicine*. 6th ed. B Jain Publishers; 2002.
17. Ortega P. *Notes on the Miasms*. B Jain Publishers; 2002.
18. Boericke W. *Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica*. 9th ed. B Jain Publishers; 2007.
19. Kent JT. *Lectures on Homoeopathic Materia Medica*. B Jain Publishers; 2005.
20. Clarke JH. *A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica*. Vols. 1–3. B Jain Publishers; 2005.