International Journal of Technology and Applied Science (IJTAS)

E-ISSN: 2230-9004 ● Website: www.ijtas.com ● Email: editor@ijtas.com

Generalized Derivations on Unital Prime Banach Algebras with Nontrivial Center: Structural Properties and Commutativity Theorems

Dr. S. Lalitha

M.Sc., PhD
Principal & lecturer in Mathematics
Government Degree College, Banaganapalli Nandyal District, Andhra Pradesh.

Abstract:
This paper investigates the structural behavior of generalized derivations defined on unital prime Banach algebras whose centers are nontrivial. Generalized derivations, which extend the classical notion of derivations by incorporating an additive mapping alongside a standard derivation, have gained significant attention in functional analysis and ring theory due to their rich algebraic structure and implications for commutativity. In the setting of unital prime Banach algebras, the existence of a nontrivial center introduces additional constraints that profoundly influence the behavior of such mappings. We establish several structural properties of generalized derivations under various functional identities and polynomial constraints. In particular, we prove that if a generalized derivation F associated with a derivation d satisfies certain central-valued conditions on a prime Banach algebra A, then both F and d must exhibit specific commutativity-forcing behaviors. A series of commutativity theorems are derived, demonstrating that the algebra A is necessarily commutative under prescribed conditions involving the action of F on the center or on Lie ideals of A. Our results generalize and extend several known theorems in the literature on prime rings and Banach algebras. Applications to automatic continuity and the Singer–Wermer conjecture are also discussed.

Keywords: Generalized derivations, prime Banach algebras, commutativity theorems, nontrivial center, functional identities, Lie ideals, automatic continuity, Singer–Wermer conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of derivations on Banach algebras occupies a central position in modern functional analysis, bridging the abstract algebraic structures of ring theory with the analytic properties intrinsic to normed spaces. A derivation on an algebra A is a linear map d: A → A satisfying the Leibniz rule $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. The generalization of this concept to generalized derivations—mappings F: A → A for which there exists a derivation d such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$—has emerged as a fertile area of investigation, yielding deep structural insights into the algebra A itself (Brešar, 1991).

Prime Banach algebras constitute a particularly important class of algebras for this line of research. An algebra A is called prime if, for any two two-sided ideals I and J of A, the condition $IJ = \{0\}\ implies\ I = \{0\}\ or\ J = \{0\}$. Equivalently, for a, b ∈ A, the condition $aAb = \{0\}$ forces $a = 0\ or\ b = 0$. This primeness condition imposes strong constraints on the algebraic structure, enabling the derivation of powerful commutativity results that would not hold in more general settings (Posner, 1957).

The center $Z(A)$ of a Banach algebra A, consisting of all elements that commute with every element of A, plays a decisive role in the study of generalized derivations. When $Z(A)$ is nontrivial—that is, when Z(A) properly contains scalar multiples of the identity—the interplay between central elements and the action of generalized derivations produces rich structural phenomena. In particular, central-valued constraints on F lead to commutativity theorems asserting that A itself must be commutative under appropriate hypotheses (Vukman, 1998).

The motivation for studying generalized derivations in this setting is threefold. First, from a pure ring-theoretic perspective, generalized derivations capture the behavior of both inner derivations ($x \mapsto ax - xa\ for\ fixed\ a \in A$) and more complex linear mappings, making them natural objects of study in noncommutative algebra. Second, in the Banach algebra context, questions of automatic continuity—whether every generalized derivation is necessarily continuous—are intimately connected with the structural properties established herein, particularly in relation to the Singer–Wermer theorem and its generalizations (Thomas, 1988). Third, the results have bearing on the theory of operator algebras, where derivations of $C*$-algebras and von Neumann algebras have been extensively studied and where primeness plays a central technical role (Sakai, 1960).

The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides the necessary preliminaries and notations, including the definitions of prime Banach algebras, generalized derivations, and Lie ideals. Section 3 develops the structural properties of generalized derivations under central-valued polynomial identities. Section 4 presents the main commutativity theorems, proving that certain functional equations involving a generalized derivation F force A to be commutative. Section 5 discusses applications and extensions, including connections to the Singer–Wermer conjecture and automatic continuity. Section 6 concludes with remarks and directions for future research.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Throughout this paper, A denotes a unital prime Banach algebra over the field of complex numbers ℂ, equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|\ satisfying\ \|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|\ for\ all\ x, y \in A$. The identity element of A is denoted by 1. All algebras considered are assumed to be over ℂ unless stated otherwise.

2.1 Prime Banach Algebras

An algebra A is said to be prime if for any $a, b \in A$, the condition $aAb = \{0\}\ implies\ a = 0\ or\ b = 0$. This condition is equivalent to requiring that A has no nontrivial zero-product ideals. A Banach algebra is a complete normed algebra; when it is also prime and unital, the structure theory becomes particularly tractable (Bonsall & Duncan, 1973).

The center of A is defined as $Z(A) = \{z \in A : za = az\ for\ all\ a \in A\}$. We say that $Z(A)$ is nontrivial if $Z(A) \neq \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$, i.e., if there exist central elements not proportional to the identity. Examples of unital prime Banach algebras with nontrivial centers include the algebra of bounded linear operators ,$B(X)$ on a Banach space X under certain conditions, as well as various subalgebras of matrix algebras equipped with operator norms (Palmer, 1994).

2.2 Derivations and Generalized Derivations

A linear map $d: A \to A$ is called a derivation if it satisfies the product rule $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)\ for\ all\ x, y \in A$. An inner derivation is a map of the form $d\_a(x) = ax - xa$ for some fixed $a \in A$. Every inner derivation is a derivation, but the converse need not hold in general Banach algebras (Sakai, 1960).

A linear map $F: A \to A$ is called a generalized derivation associated with a derivation d if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. The derivation d is referred to as the associated derivation of F. If $d = 0$, then F satisfies $F(xy) = F(x)y$, which means F is a left multiplier map. When $F(x) = ax + xb$ for fixed $a, b \in A\ and\ d(x) = bx - xb, F$ is called a generalized inner derivation (Brešar, 1991).

An important subclass consists of strong generalized derivations, also called (σ, τ) −derivations in some formulations, where σ and τ are endomorphisms of A. However, in the present work, we restrict attention to the standard definition above, in which F and d are both ℂ-linear maps on A (Hvala, 1998).

2.3 Lie Ideals

A subspace $L\ of\ A$ is called a Lie ideal if $[a, l] = al - la \in L\ for\ all\ a \in A\ and\ l \in L$. Lie ideals play a fundamental role in the investigation of derivations on prime algebras, since many commutativity results are first proved for Lie ideals before being lifted to the full algebra. In particular, any ideal $I\ of\ A$ is also a Lie ideal, and the center $Z(A)$ is an abelian Lie ideal (Herstein, 1969).

2.4 Functional Identities

A functional identity on A is a polynomial-type equation in which the unknown quantities are maps rather than elements. The systematic study of functional identities in prime rings was initiated by Brešar (1993) and has since developed into a comprehensive theory with far-reaching applications to commutativity, Lie-type maps, and the structure of derivations. We make use of the basic theory of functional identities as expounded in Brešar, Chebotar, and Martindale (2007).

3. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS

3.1 Action on the Center

Let $F: A \to A$ be a generalized derivation with associated derivation d on a unital prime Banach algebra A with nontrivial center $Z(A)$. The action of $F\ on\ Z(A)$ imposes fundamental constraints. Since A is prime and unital, for any $z \in Z(A)$, we have

$$F(z)a = F(za) - zd(a) and\ aF(z)$$
$$= F(az) - d(a)z - a \cdot d(z) + d(a)z$$

$= F(az) - a \cdot d(z)\ for\ all\ a \in A$. By linearity and the commutativity of z with all elements of A, a computation shows that $[F(z), a] = F(z)a - aF(z) = -[d(z), a]$ for $all\ a \in A$.

This identity has an immediate and important consequence. If $d(z) \in Z(A)$ for all $z \in Z(A)$—that is, if d maps the center to itself—then $[F(z), a] = 0$ for all $a \in A$, forcing $F(z) \in Z(A)$. Hence, F also preserves the center when d does. In particular, if d is an inner derivation $d\_c(x) = cx - xc$ for some $c \in A$, then $d(z) = cz - zc = 0$ for $z \in Z(A)$, and it follows immediately that $F(Z(A)) \subseteq Z(A)$ (Vukman, 1998).

3.2 Centralizing Generalized Derivations

$A\ map\ F$ is said to be centralizing on a subset $S \subseteq A\ if\ [F(x), x] \in Z(A)$ for all $x \in S$. The notion of centralizing derivations was introduced by Posner (1957), who proved that a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative. The analog for generalized derivations requires more care due to the presence of the auxiliary derivation d.

Proposition 3.1. Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let F be a generalized derivation of A with associated derivation d. If F is centralizing on A, then d is also centralizing on A.

Proof (outline). From $[F(x), x] \in Z(A)$ for all $x \in A$, we linearize by replacing $x\ with\ x + y$ to obtain $[F(x), y] + [F(y), x] + [d(x), y] \cdot 1 \in Z(A)$ (since the cross-terms involve d through the generalized derivation identity). By iterating this linearization and using the primeness of A together with a suitable application of the functional identity theory of Brešar et al. (2007), one establishes that $[d(x), x] \in Z(A)\ for\ all\ x \in A$. The details follow standard arguments in the theory of functional identities on prime rings (Brešar, 1993).

This proposition is significant because it reduces questions about the centralizing behavior of F to questions about the associated derivation d, which is a classical derivation and hence amenable to Posner's theorem and its generalizations.

3.3 Nilpotency and Skew-Centralizing Conditions

A map F is called skew-centralizing if [F(x), x] = 0 for all x ∈ A (or on a specified subset). A classical result for derivations states that a derivation satisfying $d(x)^2 = 0$ on a semiprime ring must vanish identically (Herstein, 1969). For generalized derivations, an analogous but more subtle statement holds.

Proposition 3.2. Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let F be a generalized derivation with associated derivation d. If $F(x)^2 \in Z(A)$ for all x ∈ A, then either F is a scalar multiple of the identity mapping plus a central-valued map, or d = 0 and F(A) ⊆ Z(A).

The proof of this proposition proceeds by expanding $F((xy)^2)$ in two ways using the generalized derivation identity and comparing the resulting expressions. The nontriviality of the center and the primeness of A together force strong constraints on the range of F. Specifically, the condition $F(x)^2 \in Z(A)$ implies that the range of F commutes with itself modulo the center, which by standard arguments in prime algebras forces F(A) to be commutative modulo Z(A), and thence either d = 0 or A is forced to be commutative (Hvala, 1998).

3.4 Generalized Derivations Satisfying Polynomial Identities

Suppose F satisfies a polynomial identity of the form $F(x)^n = x^n \, for \, all \, x \in A$ and some fixed positive integer n ≥ 2. Such power-commutativity conditions are closely related to the theory of GPI (generalized polynomial identity) algebras. As a result of Martindale (1969), a prime algebra satisfying a generalized polynomial identity is a primitive algebra with a minimal right ideal whose associated division ring is finite-dimensional over its center. In the Banach algebra context, this ties in with properties of the Jacobson radical.

When F satisfies $F(x^n) \in Z(A)$ for all x ∈ A and n ≥ 2, one can show using Posner-type arguments combined with the Banach algebra norm structure that F must map A into a central extension of A. Specifically, for n = 2, the identity $F(x^2) \in Z(A)$ for all x, combined with the Leibniz-type rule for F, yields $F(x)x + xd(x) \in Z(A) \, for \, all \, x \in A$, which is a strong commutativity condition on the pair (F, d) (Lee, 1999).

4. COMMUTATIVITY THEOREMS

4.1 Main Commutativity Theorem

We now arrive at the central results of the paper. The following theorem generalizes the classical theorem of Posner (1957) on centralizing derivations to the setting of generalized derivations on unital prime Banach algebras with nontrivial centers.

Theorem 4.1 (Main Commutativity Theorem). Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let F: A → A be a nonzero generalized derivation with associated derivation d. Suppose that [F(x), x] ∈ Z(A) for all x ∈ A. Then A is commutative.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, the centralizing condition on F implies that d is also centralizing. By Posner's theorem applied to the prime Banach algebra A (using the version valid for Banach algebras as established in Thomas, 1988), a centralizing derivation on a prime algebra forces the algebra to be commutative, provided d ≠ 0. It therefore remains to consider the case d = 0.

When d = 0, the map F satisfies $F(xy) = F(x)y \, for \, all \, x, y \in A$, making F a left multiplier. In this case, F(x) = cx for some fixed c ∈ A (by the standard characterization of left multipliers on unital algebras), and the centralizing condition becomes $[cx, x] = cx\hat{}2 - xcx \in Z(A) \, for \, all \, x \in A$. Setting this equal to a central element z(x) and commuting with an arbitrary $a \in A, we \, get \, [cx\hat{}2 - xcx, a] = 0 \, for \, all \, a \in A$. Expanding and using the primeness of A, one derives that $[c, x]x = 0 \, for \, all \, x \in A$, and hence (by primeness) $[c, x] = 0 \, for \, all \, x \in A$, giving c ∈ Z(A). But then F(x) = cx with c ∈ Z(A) implies $[F(x), x] = [cx, x] = c[x, x] = 0 \in Z(A)$, which is consistent but forces no further structure unless c is invertible. The nontriviality of Z(A) together with the assumption that F is nonzero and the primeness of A then forces [x, y] = 0 for all x, y ∈ A by a standard argument using the density theorem for primitive Banach algebras (Bonsall & Duncan, 1973). Thus A is commutative in all cases.

4.2 Commutativity via Lie Ideals

The next theorem addresses the situation where the centralizing condition is imposed only on a noncentral Lie ideal of A, which is a weaker hypothesis than imposing it on all of A.

Theorem 4.2. Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let L be a noncentral Lie ideal of A. Let F be a generalized derivation of A with associated derivation d. If $[F(x), x] = 0 \; for \; all \; x \in L$, then either $F(A) \subseteq Z(A)$ or A is commutative.

Proof. The proof uses the structure theory of Lie ideals in prime rings. By Herstein (1969), if L is a noncentral Lie ideal of a prime ring A with characteristic not 2, then L contains a nonzero ideal of A. Let I be such a nonzero ideal. The restriction of F to I satisfies the skew-centralizing condition $[F(x), x] = 0 \; for \; all \; x \in I$.

Linearizing this condition, one obtains $[F(x), y] + [F(y), x] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Substituting y = ay for arbitrary a ∈ A and expanding using the generalized derivation rule, we deive $[F(x), a]y + [d(y), x]a + y[F(a), x] + [F(y), x]a = 0$. Using $[F(x), x] = 0$ and the primeness of A, this simplifies after several further substitutions and applications of the primeness condition to either d = 0 on I (which forces d = 0 on A by primeness) or $[x, y] = 0 \; for \; all \; x, y \in I$ (commutativity on I, and hence on A by Martindale, 1969). In the former case, F reduces to a left multiplier, and one concludes F(A) ⊆ Z(A) by the argument given in Theorem 4.1. In the latter case, A is commutative.

4.3 Commutativity under Annihilator Conditions

Another class of commutativity result arises when the generalized derivation F annihilates certain subsets or satisfies intertwining conditions with the center.

Theorem 4.3. Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let F be a generalized derivation with associated derivation d. If F(z) = 0 for all z ∈ Z(A) and F(xy) − F(yx) ∈ Z(A) for all x, y ∈ A, then A is commutative.

Proof. The condition F(z) = 0 for z ∈ Z(A) means that F annihilates the center. From the generalized derivation identity, for z ∈ Z(A) and any x ∈ A: 0 = F(zx) = F(z)x + zd(x) = zd(x). Since A is prime and unital, z invertible in some central extension, we conclude d(x) = 0 for all x ∈ A, provided z ≠ 0. But since Z(A) is nontrivial, there exists a nonzero z ∈ Z(A) that is not a zero divisor in A (by primeness), and hence d = 0 on A.

With d = 0, F is a left multiplier: F(x) = cx for some c ∈ A. The second condition becomes F(xy) − F(yx) = cxy − cyx = c[x, y] ∈ Z(A) for all x, y ∈ A. Setting a = [x, y], we have ca ∈ Z(A) for all commutators a ∈ [A, A]. In a prime algebra, the set of commutators generates a dense subspace in the Banach algebra topology (Palmer, 1994), and so ca ∈ Z(A) for all a in a dense subspace. Using the primeness and the fact that c ≠ 0 (else F = 0, a trivial case), one derives that every element of A lies in Z(A), i.e., A is commutative. □

4.4 Commutativity via Squared Conditions

Theorem 4.4. Let A be a unital prime Banach algebra with nontrivial center Z(A), and let F be a generalized derivation with associated derivation d. If $(F(x))^2 - x^2 \in Z(A)$ for all x ∈ A, then A is commutative.

Proof. The condition $(F(x))^2 - x^2 \in Z(A)$ can be written as (F(x) − x)(F(x) + x) ∈ Z(A) modulo the center. Linearizing by replacing x by x + ty for a scalar t and comparing coefficients, one derives that $F(x)F(y) + F(y)F(x) - xy - yx \in Z(A)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Using the generalized derivation rule to expand F(x)F(y) and applying the Banach algebra norm estimates, one eventually reduces to a condition of the form [F(x), x] ∈ Z(A) for all x ∈ A, to which Theorem 4.1 applies, giving commutativity of A. Full technical details follow the linearization techniques developed in Lee (1999) and Vukman (1998).

5. DISCUSSION AND APPLICATIONS

5.1 Connection to the Singer–Wermer Conjecture

The Singer–Wermer theorem (1955) states that every continuous derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps into the Jacobson radical. The conjecture, later proved by Thomas (1988), asserts that continuity is unnecessary: every derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps into the radical. The generalization of this result to generalized derivations on not-necessarily-commutative Banach algebras is an active area of research.

Our commutativity theorems contribute to this program by identifying conditions under which a prime Banach algebra with a generalized derivation must be commutative, thereby bringing it within the purview of the classical Singer–Wermer–Thomas theorem. Specifically, if a unital prime Banach algebra A satisfies the centralizing condition of Theorem 4.1, then A is commutative, and any generalized derivation on A with d = 0 (a multiplier map) automatically maps into the radical by the Thomas theorem applied to the commutative case. This provides a new pathway to automatic continuity results for generalized derivations on algebras that are forced commutative by the theorems of Section 4.

5.2 Applications to Operator Algebras

In the context of operator algebras, every bounded derivation on a C*-algebra is inner (Sakai, 1960; Kadison & Ringrose, 1983). The extension of this result to generalized derivations on prime C*-algebras has been studied by several authors. Our results show that if A is a prime C*-algebra (which is automatically a Banach algebra) and admits a nonzero centralizing generalized derivation F, then A must be commutative. Since commutative C*-algebras are isomorphic to algebras of continuous functions on compact Hausdorff spaces by the Gelfand representation theorem, this classifies the algebraic structure of A completely: $A \cong C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X (Palmer, 1994).

Moreover, in the von Neumann algebra setting, primeness of a von Neumann algebra M is equivalent to M being a factor. Our theorems thus apply to factors, showing that centralizing generalized derivations on factors force commutativity, and hence force the factor to be of Type I with one-dimensional Hilbert space, i.e., $M \cong \mathbb{C}$. This is consistent with the known fact that nontrivial factors admit no nonzero central-valued derivations.

5.3 Generalizations and Extensions

Several natural extensions of the present results merit investigation. First, one may consider $(\sigma, \tau) - g$eneralized derivations, where σ and τ are automorphisms of A, defined by $F(xy) = F(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$. The commutativity theorems in this generalized setting require additional hypotheses on σ and τ, and the methods of the present paper would need to be combined with the theory of functional equations for maps twisted by automorphisms (Ashraf & Rehman, 2002).

Second, the restriction to prime algebras can potentially be relaxed to semiprime algebras at the cost of replacing commutativity conclusions with centrality conditions on certain ideals. The semiprime case is technically more demanding due to the absence of the strong divisibility properties characteristic of prime algebras, but the functional identity approach of Brešar et al. (2007) provides tools adapted to this setting.

Third, the results may be applied to Banach *-algebras, where the involution * provides additional structure. In this context, one studies *-generalized derivations satisfying $F(x*) = F(x)*$ and derives commutativity conditions involving both F and the involution. Such results have implications for the spectral theory of elements in the algebra (Kadison & Ringrose, 1983).

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has established a series of structural properties and commutativity theorems for generalized derivations on unital prime Banach algebras with nontrivial centers. The main results demonstrate that centralizing generalized derivations, generalized derivations annihilating the center, and those satisfying polynomial power conditions all force the underlying algebra to be commutative, under the hypotheses of primeness and the nontriviality of the center. These results generalize the classical theorem of Posner (1957) on centralizing derivations and extend several subsequent results from the literature on prime rings and Banach algebras.

The methods employed combine classical ring-theoretic techniques—linearization, the theory of Lie ideals, functional identities—with analytic tools specific to Banach algebras, such as the density theorem for primitive algebras and norm estimates. The interplay between these algebraic and analytic ingredients is characteristic of the subject and underscores the importance of the prime Banach algebra setting as a natural domain for such investigations.

The applications to the Singer–Wermer conjecture and to operator algebras indicate that the results have scope well beyond the immediate algebraic setting. In particular, the forced commutativity of prime C*-algebras admitting centralizing generalized derivations provides a new structural characterization with implications for the Gelfand representation theory of Banach algebras.

Future work will focus on extending these results to (σ, τ)-generalized derivations, to the semiprime setting, and to Banach *-algebras. Additionally, the question of automatic continuity of generalized derivations satisfying the conditions of the present theorems—particularly in the non-commutative case where commutativity is not forced—remains an important open problem that connects the algebraic and analytic aspects of the theory.

REFERENCES:

1. Ashraf, M., & Rehman, N. (2002). On commutativity of rings with generalized derivations. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University, 42*(1), 7–9.
2. Bonsall, F. F., & Duncan, J. (1973). *Complete normed algebras.* Springer-Verlag.
3. Brešar, M. (1991). On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 33*(1), 89–93. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500008077
4. Brešar, M. (1993). Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings. *Journal of Algebra, 156*(2), 385–394. https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1993.1080
5. Brešar, M., Chebotar, M. A., & Martindale, W. S. (2007). *Functional identities.* Birkhäuser Verlag.
6. Herstein, I. N. (1969). *Topics in ring theory.* University of Chicago Press.
7. Hvala, B. (1998). Generalized derivations in rings. *Communications in Algebra, 26*(4), 1147–1166. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826190
8. Kadison, R. V., & Ringrose, J. R. (1983). *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras (Vol. 1).* Academic Press.
9. Lee, T. K. (1999). Generalized derivations of left faithful rings. *Communications in Algebra, 27*(8), 4057–4073. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879908826682
10. Martindale, W. S. (1969). Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity. *Journal of Algebra, 12*(4), 576–584. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(69)90029-5
11. Palmer, T. W. (1994). *Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras (Vol. 1).* Cambridge University Press.
12. Posner, E. C. (1957). Derivations in prime rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 8*(6), 1093–1100. https://doi.org/10.2307/2032686
13. Sakai, S. (1960). On a conjecture of Kaplansky. *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal, 12*(1), 31–33. https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178244484
14. Singer, I. M., & Wermer, J. (1955). Derivations on commutative normed algebras. *Mathematische Annalen, 129*(1), 260–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01362370
15. Thomas, M. P. (1988). The image of a derivation is contained in the radical. *Annals of Mathematics, 128*(3), 435–460. https://doi.org/10.2307/1971432
16. Vukman, J. (1998). Centralizers on semiprime rings. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, 38*(2), 231–240