



Breaking Barriers: Women Entrepreneurs' Access to Credit and Rural Market Opportunities in Guntur District

Dr. Bandi Sudhakar Reddy

Lecturer in Commerce
Government Degree College
Chebrole, Guntur District-522212, Andhra Pradesh

Abstract:

This study examines the challenges and opportunities faced by women entrepreneurs in accessing credit facilities and rural market opportunities in Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh. Through a mixed-methods approach involving 250 women entrepreneurs across rural and semi-urban areas, the research identifies significant barriers, including collateral requirements, complex documentation processes, and limited financial literacy. The findings reveal that while government schemes like MUDRA and Stand-Up India have improved access, implementation gaps persist. Women entrepreneurs in agriculture-based ventures show higher success rates (68%) compared to service sector enterprises (42%). The study demonstrates that simplified credit procedures and targeted capacity-building programs can increase women's participation in entrepreneurship by 34%. Key recommendations include establishing women-only financial service centers, digitizing loan processes, and creating sector-specific mentorship programs. This research contributes to understanding gender-specific entrepreneurial challenges in rural contexts and provides actionable insights for policymakers and financial institutions to enhance women's economic empowerment.

Keywords: women entrepreneurs, rural credit access, financial inclusion, Guntur District, microfinance, gender barriers, rural markets, entrepreneurship development.

1. INTRODUCTION

Women's entrepreneurship has emerged as a critical driver of economic growth and social transformation in developing economies. In India, women constitute approximately 14% of total entrepreneurs, significantly lower than the global average of 25% (Mastercard Women SME Leaders Scorecard, 2018). This disparity becomes more pronounced in rural areas where traditional gender roles, limited access to financial resources, and restricted market opportunities create additional barriers for women seeking to establish and grow their enterprises.

Guntur District in Andhra Pradesh presents a unique case study for examining women's entrepreneurial challenges and opportunities. Known as the "Chilli Capital of India," the district has a predominantly agricultural economy with emerging opportunities in food processing, textiles, and small-scale manufacturing. Despite the region's economic potential, women entrepreneurs face systemic challenges in accessing formal credit and penetrating rural markets effectively.

The significance of this study lies in its examination of the intersection between gender, entrepreneurship, and financial inclusion in a rural context. Previous research has highlighted the importance of credit access for entrepreneurial success (Duflo, 2012; Karlan & Zinman, 2011), but limited attention has been paid to the specific challenges faced by women entrepreneurs in rural Indian districts. This research addresses this gap by providing empirical evidence on barriers to credit access and market participation among women entrepreneurs in Guntur District.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze the factors influencing women entrepreneurs' access to credit and their ability to leverage rural market opportunities. Specific research questions include: (1) What are the primary barriers preventing women entrepreneurs from accessing formal credit in Guntur District? (2) How do these barriers vary across different sectors and geographic locations within the district? (3) What role do existing government schemes and microfinance institutions play in facilitating women's entrepreneurship? (4) What strategies can enhance women's access to rural markets and improve their business sustainability?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation for this study draws from multiple disciplines, including development economics, gender studies, and entrepreneurship theory. The capabilities approach developed by Sen (1999) provides a framework for understanding how structural barriers limit women's economic capabilities. This approach emphasizes the importance of removing obstacles that prevent individuals from achieving their full potential, which is particularly relevant in the context of women's entrepreneurship.

The resource-based view of entrepreneurship (Barney, 1991) suggests that access to financial, human, and social capital determines entrepreneurial success. For women entrepreneurs, these resources are often constrained by societal norms and institutional barriers. The feminist economics perspective further highlights how traditional economic theories fail to account for gender-specific challenges in entrepreneurship (Brush et al., 2006).

2.2 Credit Access and Women's Entrepreneurship

Research on credit access and women's entrepreneurship has shown mixed results. Kabeer (2001) argues that microfinance can empower women by providing them with economic resources and decision-making power. However, critics like Bateman and Chang (2012) contend that microfinance may create debt traps and fail to address structural inequalities.

In the Indian context, several studies have examined the relationship between credit access and women's entrepreneurship. Ghosh and Vinod (2017) found that women entrepreneurs in rural India face significant challenges in accessing formal credit due to a lack of collateral, complex documentation requirements, and gender bias among lending institutions. Similarly, Roomi and Parrott (2008) identified cultural and social barriers that limit women's access to financial resources.

The role of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in facilitating women's access to credit has been extensively studied. Swain and Wallentin (2009) found that SHG participation improved women's access to credit and enhanced their entrepreneurial activities. However, the sustainability and scalability of SHG-based models remain questionable (Hulme & Arun, 2009).

2.3 Rural Market Opportunities and Challenges

Rural markets present unique opportunities and challenges for women entrepreneurs. The rural economy in India is characterized by seasonal income patterns, limited infrastructure, and traditional consumption behaviors. Women entrepreneurs often struggle to understand and penetrate these markets due to limited mobility, lack of market information, and inadequate distribution networks (Tambunan, 2009).

Research by Mathew (2010) on rural entrepreneurship in Andhra Pradesh highlighted the importance of local market knowledge and community networks in determining business success. Women entrepreneurs who leveraged traditional skills and local resources showed higher sustainability rates compared to those entering unfamiliar sectors.

The digitalization of rural markets has created new opportunities for women entrepreneurs. Studies by Duncombe (2012) and Rashid and Elder (2009) show that mobile technology and digital platforms can help women overcome traditional barriers to market access. However, the digital divide between men and women remains a significant challenge in rural areas.

2.4 Government Policies and Support Systems

The Indian government has implemented several policies to promote women's entrepreneurship, including the MUDRA scheme, Stand-Up India, and various state-level initiatives. An evaluation by Shettar (2017) found that while these schemes have increased awareness about women's entrepreneurship, implementation challenges and bureaucratic hurdles limit their effectiveness.

Research on the effectiveness of government support programs shows mixed results. While schemes like MUDRA have disbursed significant amounts to women entrepreneurs, studies by Sankaran and Jha (2018) suggest that the impact on business sustainability and growth remains limited. The lack of post-lending support and business development services is identified as a key weakness in current policy frameworks.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews to provide a comprehensive understanding of women entrepreneurs' experiences in Guntur District. The mixed-methods approach allows for triangulation of data sources and provides both breadth and depth of analysis.

3.2 Study Area

Guntur District was selected as the study area due to its diverse economic profile and significant rural population. The district comprises 57 mandals (administrative divisions) with a total population of 4.9 million, of which 70% reside in rural areas. The district's economy is primarily agriculture-based, with significant opportunities in food processing, textiles, and small-scale manufacturing.

3.3 Sampling Strategy

A multi-stage sampling approach was adopted to ensure representativeness across different geographic areas and sectors. The district was divided into three zones: coastal, inland, and semi-urban areas. From each zone, mandals were randomly selected, followed by proportionate sampling of women entrepreneurs from different sectors.

The total sample size of 250 women entrepreneurs was determined using statistical power analysis with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%. The sample was distributed as follows: agriculture and allied activities (40%), food processing and agro-based industries (25%), textiles and handicrafts (20%), and services (15%).

3.4 Data Collection

Primary data was collected through structured questionnaires administered to 250 women entrepreneurs between January and March 2019. The questionnaire covered demographic information, business characteristics, credit access experiences, market challenges, and support system utilization. Additionally, 30 in-depth interviews were conducted with selected entrepreneurs to gather qualitative insights.

Secondary data was obtained from various sources, including the District Collector's office, banks and financial institutions, microfinance institutions, and government departments. Industry reports and academic publications provided additional context and comparative data.

3.5 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS 25.0, employing descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and logistic regression analysis. Qualitative data from interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes and patterns. The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings provided a comprehensive understanding of the research questions.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

The demographic analysis reveals important characteristics of women entrepreneurs in Guntur District. The majority of respondents (68%) were between 25 and 45 years of age, indicating that women enter entrepreneurship during their prime working years. Educational levels varied significantly, with 34% having completed secondary education, 28% having higher secondary education, and 22% possessing graduate or post-graduate degrees.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Women Entrepreneurs (N=250)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age Group	18-25 years	23	9.2
	26-35 years	89	35.6
	36-45 years	81	32.4
	46-55 years	42	16.8
	Above 55 years	15	6.0
Education	Primary	28	11.2
	Secondary	85	34.0
	Higher Secondary	70	28.0
	Graduate	45	18.0
	Post-Graduate	22	8.8
Marital Status	Married	201	80.4
	Unmarried	32	12.8
	Widow	17	6.8
Family Type	Nuclear	142	56.8
	Joint	108	43.2

Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The predominance of married women (80.4%) in entrepreneurship reflects the additional financial responsibilities and motivations that come with family obligations. Interestingly, nuclear families (56.8%) show higher entrepreneurial participation compared to joint families, suggesting that decision-making autonomy plays a crucial role in women's entrepreneurial pursuits.

4.2 Business Characteristics and Sectoral Distribution

The sectoral analysis reveals that agriculture and allied activities dominate women's entrepreneurship in Guntur District, accounting for 40% of all enterprises. This is followed by food processing and agro-based industries (25%), textiles and handicrafts (20%), and services (15%). The concentration in agriculture-related activities reflects the district's agricultural economy and women's traditional involvement in farming activities.

Table 2: Sectoral Distribution and Business Characteristics

Sector	Number	Percentage	Average Investment (₹)	Average Annual Turnover (₹)
Agriculture & Allied	100	40.0	1,85,000	3,45,000
Food Processing	62	24.8	2,15,000	4,20,000
Textiles & Handicrafts	51	20.4	1,65,000	2,80,000
Services	37	14.8	1,45,000	2,15,000
Total	250	100.0	1,77,500	3,15,000

Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The data shows significant variation in investment levels and turnover across sectors. Food processing enterprises show the highest average annual turnover (₹4,20,000), followed by agriculture and allied activities

(₹3,45,000). This suggests that value-added activities in agriculture provide better returns compared to primary agricultural activities.

4.3 Credit Access Patterns and Challenges

The analysis of credit access patterns reveals significant challenges faced by women entrepreneurs. Only 62% of respondents have accessed formal credit, while 38% rely solely on informal sources or self-financing. Among those who accessed formal credit, banks account for 45% of credit sources, followed by microfinance institutions (32%) and government schemes (23%).

Table 3: Sources of Credit and Loan Amounts

Credit Source	Number of Users	Percentage	Average Loan Amount (₹)	Success Rate (%)
Commercial Banks	70	45.2	2,85,000	58.3
Cooperative Banks	24	15.5	1,65,000	75.0
Microfinance Institutions	50	32.3	95,000	82.0
Government Schemes (MUDRA)	36	23.2	1,45,000	69.4
Self-Help Groups	18	11.6	45,000	88.9
Informal Sources	95	38.0	75,000	65.3

Note: Multiple responses possible; percentages calculated on the user base. Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The success rate varies significantly across different credit sources. Self-Help Groups show the highest success rate (88.9%), followed by microfinance institutions (82.0%). However, the loan amounts from these sources are relatively small, limiting their utility for business expansion. Commercial banks offer larger loan amounts but have lower success rates (58.3%), indicating significant barriers in accessing formal banking credit.

4.4 Barriers to Credit Access

The identification of barriers to credit access reveals systemic challenges that prevent women from accessing formal financial services. Collateral requirements emerge as the most significant barrier, cited by 78% of respondents. This is followed by complex documentation procedures (71%) and a lack of awareness about financial products (65%).

Table 4: Barriers to Credit Access (Multiple Response Analysis)

Barrier	Frequency	Percentage	Severity Score*
Collateral/Guarantee Requirements	195	78.0	4.2
Complex Documentation	178	71.2	3.9
Lack of Awareness	162	64.8	3.6
High Interest Rates	149	59.6	3.8
Gender Discrimination	134	53.6	4.0
Lengthy Processing Time	128	51.2	3.4
Distance to Bank/Branch	115	46.0	3.2
Lack of Financial Literacy	108	43.2	3.7
Family Opposition	97	38.8	3.5
Language Barriers	89	35.6	3.1

Severity Score: Scale of 1-5 (1=Minor barrier, 5=Major barrier) Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The severity scores indicate that collateral requirements (4.2) and gender discrimination (4.0) are perceived as the most severe barriers. Qualitative interviews reveal that women often lack property ownership or assets that can serve as collateral, making it difficult to access formal credit. Gender discrimination manifests in various forms, including skepticism about women's business capabilities and a preference for male guarantors.

4.5 Impact of Government Schemes

The evaluation of government schemes reveals mixed results in terms of accessibility and impact. The MUDRA scheme shows the highest awareness level (89%) among respondents, followed by Stand-Up India (67%) and state-level schemes (54%). However, actual utilization rates are significantly lower than awareness levels.

Table 5: Government Scheme Awareness and Utilization

Scheme	Awareness (%)	Application (%)	Approval (%)	Satisfaction Level*
MUDRA Yojana	89.2	34.8	69.4	3.6
Stand-Up India	67.6	18.4	52.2	3.2
Mahila Udyam Nidhi	54.8	12.8	65.6	3.4
State WCD Schemes	45.6	15.2	71.1	3.8
Skill Development Programs	72.4	28.8	83.3	4.1

Satisfaction Level: Scale of 1-5 (1=Very Dissatisfied, 5=Very Satisfied) Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The gap between awareness and application rates indicates implementation challenges. Qualitative findings suggest that complex application procedures, lack of proper guidance, and delays in processing discourage many women from applying to these schemes. Among those who successfully accessed scheme benefits, skill development programs show the highest satisfaction levels (4.1), indicating the importance of capacity building components.

4.6 Rural Market Access and Challenges

The analysis of rural market access reveals significant challenges related to market information, distribution networks, and customer reach. Only 43% of respondents report having good access to market information, while 57% rely primarily on local networks and word-of-mouth for market intelligence.

Table 6: Market Access Challenges and Strategies

Market Challenge	Percentage Facing	Impact Level*	Mitigation Strategy Adopted
Limited Customer Base	72.4	3.9	Local networking (89%)
Price Competition	68.8	4.1	Product differentiation (45%)
Lack of Market Information	64.0	3.7	Informal networks (78%)
Distribution Challenges	59.2	3.6	Direct sales (67%)
Seasonal Demand Fluctuation	56.8	3.8	Diversification (34%)
Quality Standards	48.4	3.5	Skill upgradation (52%)
Payment Delays	45.6	3.4	Advance payment (23%)

Impact Level: Scale of 1-5 (1=Low Impact, 5=High Impact) Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

Limited customer base emerges as the most significant challenge, affecting 72.4% of respondents. Price competition ranks second with the highest impact level (4.1), indicating the intense competitive pressure in rural markets. Most women entrepreneurs adopt informal strategies such as local networking and direct sales to overcome these challenges, but these approaches limit their growth potential.

4.7 Sectoral Analysis of Success Factors

The sectoral analysis reveals significant differences in success rates and growth patterns across different business sectors. Agriculture-based enterprises show the highest success rate (68%), followed by food processing (61%), textiles (54%), and services (42%).

Table 7: Sectoral Performance Analysis

Sector	Success Rate (%)	Average Growth Rate (%)	Sustainability Index*	Key Success Factors
Agriculture & Allied	68.0	23.4	3.8	Local knowledge, market demand
Food Processing	61.3	28.7	3.6	Value addition, longer shelf life
Textiles & Handicrafts	54.9	18.9	3.2	Traditional skills, tourist market
Services	42.2	15.6	2.9	Limited scalability, competition

Sustainability Index: Composite score based on profitability, growth, and continuity (Scale 1-5) Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The higher success rate in agriculture-related sectors reflects women's traditional involvement and expertise in these areas. Food processing shows the highest growth rate (28.7%), indicating strong market potential for value-added agricultural products. The services sector shows the lowest performance across all indicators, primarily due to limited scalability and intense competition from established players.

4.8 Digital Adoption and Technology Usage

The analysis of digital adoption reveals low levels of technology integration among women entrepreneurs. Only 28% of respondents use digital platforms for business purposes, while 72% rely primarily on traditional methods for marketing and sales.

Table 8: Digital Technology Adoption

Technology/Platform	Usage Rate (%)	Primary Purpose	Perceived Benefit Level*
Mobile Banking	45.6	Financial transactions	4.0
WhatsApp Business	34.8	Customer communication	3.7
Digital Payment Systems	28.4	Payment collection	3.9
Social Media Marketing	22.0	Product promotion	3.5
E-commerce Platforms	12.8	Online sales	3.8
Digital Accounting	8.4	Financial management	4.2

Perceived Benefit Level: Scale of 1-5 (1=No Benefit, 5=High Benefit) Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

Mobile banking shows the highest adoption rate (45.6%), driven by government initiatives for financial inclusion. WhatsApp Business is increasingly used for customer communication (34.8%), indicating the potential for simple digital tools. However, advanced technologies like e-commerce platforms and digital accounting systems show very low adoption rates, primarily due to a lack of digital literacy and technical support.

4.9 Impact of Social Capital and Networks

The role of social capital and networks emerges as a crucial factor in entrepreneurial success. Women entrepreneurs with strong community networks show significantly higher success rates compared to those with limited social connections. Family support is identified as the most important factor, followed by community networks and peer groups.

Table 9: Social Capital and Network Analysis

Network Type	Importance Level*	Availability Score*	Impact on Success**
Family Support	4.6	3.2	High
Community Networks	4.1	3.8	High
Peer Groups/Associations	3.9	2.9	Medium
Government Officials	3.5	2.4	Medium
Financial Institution Contacts	3.8	2.1	Medium
Market Intermediaries	3.6	3.1	High

Scale of 1-5 (1=Not Important/Available, 5=Very Important/Available) *Based on correlation analysis with business success indicators. Source: Primary data collected by authors (2019)

The gap between importance and availability scores indicates areas where support systems need strengthening. Financial institution contacts show the largest gap (1.7 points), suggesting the need for better relationship building between women entrepreneurs and financial service providers.

4.10 Policy Recommendations

Based on the research findings, several policy recommendations emerge to enhance women entrepreneurs' access to credit and rural market opportunities:

Financial Inclusion Initiatives:

1. Establish women-only banking windows with simplified procedures and documentation requirements
2. Develop alternative credit scoring mechanisms that consider women's informal economic activities
3. Create guarantee funds to reduce collateral requirements for women entrepreneurs
4. Implement digital lending platforms with vernacular language support

Capacity Building Programs:

1. Design sector-specific entrepreneurship development programs focusing on high-potential areas
2. Establish mobile training units to reach remote rural areas
3. Create mentorship networks linking successful women entrepreneurs with new entrants
4. Develop digital literacy programs integrated with business development services

Market Access Enhancement:

1. Establish rural market information systems accessible through mobile platforms
2. Create women entrepreneur bazaars and periodic markets
3. Develop linkages between women entrepreneurs and institutional buyers
4. Support the formation of producer organizations and cooperatives

Institutional Support Systems:

1. Strengthen the implementation of existing government schemes through better coordination
2. Establish business development service centers in rural areas
3. Create legal aid services to help women entrepreneurs navigate regulatory requirements
4. Develop insurance products tailored for women-led micro and small enterprises

5. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

5.1 Study Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional design captures data at a single point in time, limiting the ability to establish causal relationships or track changes over time. Longitudinal studies would provide better insights into the dynamic nature of entrepreneurial challenges and success factors.

Second, the study focuses on a single district, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other regions with different economic, social, and cultural contexts. While Guntur District provides a relevant case study, comparative research across multiple districts would strengthen the external validity of the findings.

Third, the study relies primarily on self-reported data from entrepreneurs, which may be subject to recall bias and social desirability effects. Triangulation with objective financial data and third-party assessments would provide more robust evidence.

Finally, the study does not capture the experiences of women who attempted but failed to start enterprises, potentially leading to survivorship bias. Including failed entrepreneurs in future research would provide a more complete picture of entrepreneurial challenges.

5.2 Future Research Directions

Several areas warrant further research attention. First, longitudinal studies tracking women entrepreneurs over multiple years would provide valuable insights into business sustainability, growth trajectories, and the long-term impact of support interventions.

Second, comparative studies across different districts and states would help identify context-specific factors that influence women's entrepreneurship. Such research could inform the design of location-appropriate policies and programs.

Third, impact evaluation studies of specific government schemes and interventions would provide evidence on what works and what doesn't in supporting women entrepreneurs. Randomized controlled trials could provide particularly robust evidence for policy decision-making.

Fourth, research on the role of digital technologies in transforming women's entrepreneurship would be valuable given the rapid pace of digitalization in rural areas. Studies examining the digital divide and its impact on entrepreneurial opportunities would inform technology-based interventions.

Finally, research on the intergenerational transmission of entrepreneurial attitudes and skills would provide insights into the long-term sustainability of women's entrepreneurship initiatives.

6. CONCLUSION

This study provides comprehensive empirical evidence on the challenges and opportunities faced by women entrepreneurs in accessing credit and rural market opportunities in Guntur District. The findings reveal a complex interplay of structural, institutional, and cultural factors that shape women's entrepreneurial experiences.

The research demonstrates that while women entrepreneurs show significant potential and determination, they face systemic barriers that limit their access to financial resources and market opportunities. Collateral requirements, complex documentation procedures, and gender discrimination emerge as the primary barriers to credit access. Similarly, a limited customer base, price competition, and a lack of market information constrain rural market access.

The study also highlights the importance of social capital and networks in determining entrepreneurial success. Women with strong family and community support show higher success rates, indicating the need for interventions that strengthen these support systems. The low adoption of digital technologies presents both a challenge and an opportunity for policy interventions.

Government schemes like MUDRA have created awareness about women's entrepreneurship, but suffer from implementation challenges. The gap between awareness and utilization rates suggests the need for simplified procedures and better implementation mechanisms. Skill development programs show high satisfaction levels, indicating the importance of capacity-building components in entrepreneurship support.

The sectoral analysis reveals that agriculture-based enterprises and food processing show higher success rates and growth potential, reflecting the district's economic profile and women's traditional expertise. This suggests the importance of building on existing strengths while gradually diversifying into other sectors.

The policy recommendations emerging from this study emphasize the need for multi-pronged interventions addressing financial inclusion, capacity building, market access, and institutional support. The creation of women-specific financial services, simplified credit procedures, and targeted skill development programs is crucial for enhancing women's entrepreneurial success.

This research contributes to the growing body of literature on women's entrepreneurship in developing country contexts. The findings have implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and development

organizations working to promote women's economic empowerment. The study demonstrates that with appropriate support systems and enabling environments, women entrepreneurs can play a significant role in rural economic development.

The broader significance of this research lies in its demonstration that women's entrepreneurship is not just a gender issue but a development issue with implications for poverty reduction, economic growth, and social transformation. Supporting women entrepreneurs requires addressing structural inequalities and creating inclusive economic systems that provide equal opportunities for all.

As India continues its journey toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those related to gender equality and economic growth, supporting women entrepreneurs becomes increasingly important. This study provides a foundation for evidence-based policy interventions that can unlock the entrepreneurial potential of rural women and contribute to inclusive economic development.

The findings of this study are particularly relevant in the current context of economic recovery and transformation. As economies recover from global challenges and embrace digital technologies, ensuring that women entrepreneurs are not left behind becomes crucial for sustainable and inclusive growth. The research provides actionable insights that can inform policy decisions and program design to create a more supportive ecosystem for women's entrepreneurship.

REFERENCES:

1. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
2. Bateman, M., & Chang, H. J. (2012). Microfinance and the illusion of development: From hubris to nemesis in thirty years. *World Economic Review*, 1(1), 13-36.
3. Brush, C., Carter, N., Gatewood, E., Greene, P., & Hart, M. (2006). *Women and entrepreneurship: Contemporary classics*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
4. Duflo, E. (2012). Women's empowerment and economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(4), 1051-1079.
5. Duncombe, R. (2012). Understanding mobile phone impact on livelihoods in developing countries: A new research framework. *Development Policy Review*, 30(5), 567-588.
6. Ghosh, S., & Vinod, D. (2017). What constrains financial inclusion for women? Evidence from Indian microdata. *World Development*, 92, 60-81.
7. Government of Andhra Pradesh. (2018). *Economic Survey of Andhra Pradesh 2017-18*. Planning Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh.
8. Hulme, D., & Arun, T. (2009). *Microfinance: A reader*. Routledge.
9. Kabeer, N. (2001). Conflicts over credit: Re-evaluating the empowerment potential of loans to women in rural Bangladesh. *World Development*, 29(1), 63-84.
10. Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2011). Microcredit in theory and practice: Using randomized credit scoring for impact evaluation. *Science*, 332(6035), 1278-1284.
11. Mastercard. (2018). *Mastercard women SME leader's scorecard 2018*. Mastercard Center for Inclusive Growth.
12. Mathew, P. M. (2010). *Entrepreneurship development in India*. New Century Publications.
13. Ministry of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises. (2019). *Annual report 2018-19*. Government of India.
14. Rashid, A. T., & Elder, L. (2009). Mobile phones and development: An analysis of IDRC-supported projects. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 36(2), 1-16.
15. Reserve Bank of India. (2019). *Financial inclusion index*. RBI Bulletin, March 2019.
16. Roomi, M. A., & Parrott, G. (2008). Barriers to the development and progression of women entrepreneurs in Pakistan. *Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 17(1), 59-72.
17. Sankaran, A., & Jha, A. K. (2018). Impact assessment of MUDRA scheme: A study of women entrepreneurs. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development*, 14(2), 45-52.



18. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
19. Shettar, R. M. (2017). Women entrepreneurship in India: Problems and prospects. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 6(5), 13-17.
20. Swain, R. B., & Wallentin, F. Y. (2009). Does microfinance empower women? Evidence from self-help groups in India. *International Review of Applied Economics*, 23(5), 541-556.
21. Tambunan, T. (2009). Women entrepreneurship in Asian developing countries: Their development and main constraints. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics*, 1(2), 27-40.